

ON CERTAIN NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC PDE AND QUASICONFORMAL MAPPS BETWEEN EUCLIDEAN SURFACES

DAVID KALAJ AND MIODRAG MATELJEVIĆ

ABSTRACT. It is proved that every q.c. C^2 diffeomorphism w between two domains with smooth boundary satisfying the inequality $|\Delta w| \leq M|\nabla w|^2 + N$ is Lipschitz continuous. This extends the results of the authors [10]. In addition it is proved that, every quasiconformal harmonic mapping between two zero-genus $C^{2,\alpha}$ surfaces with $C^{2,\alpha}$ boundary, is Lipschitz as well. This theorem is applied to the case of minimal surfaces spanning $C^{2,\alpha}$ Jordan curves and is extended to the case of $C^{2,\alpha}$ surfaces with smooth and compact boundary.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Basic facts and notation. By $\mathbb{U}$ we denote the unit disk, by Ω a domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$ and by S a smooth 2 dimensional surface in $\mathbb{R}^l$, $l \geq 3$. Let f be a smooth mapping between a Jordan domain Ω and a surface S of the Euclid space $\mathbb{R}^l$. Consider the functional

$$(1.1) \quad E[f] = \iint_{\Omega} |f_x|^2 + |f_y|^2 dx dy$$

and minimise it under the boundary conditions $f|_{S^1}(z) = \gamma(z) \in \Gamma = \partial S$. The stationary points of the energy integral $E[f]$ satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equation i.e. Laplace equation

$$(1.2) \quad \Delta f = f_{xx} + f_{yy} = 4f_{z\bar{z}} \equiv 0.$$

The mapping f satisfying the relation (1.2) is called *harmonic*. We also consider elliptic partial differential equation (PDE):

$$(1.3) \quad Lf = a_{11}f_{xx} + 2a_{12}f_{xy} + a_{22}f_{yy} + b_1f_x + b_2f_y + g \equiv 0, \text{ for } (x, y) \in \Omega$$

where $a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{22}, b_1, b_2$, which we call the coefficients of the operator L are functions in Ω , $a_{11} > 0$ and $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}^2 > 0$ on Ω . If $a_{11} > a_0 > 0$ and $a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}^2 > d_0 > 0$ on Ω , then the equation (1.3) is called *strictly elliptic partial differential equation* on Ω .

Let us define harmonic mappings and quasiconformal mappings between two smooth 2 dimensional surfaces S_1 and S_2 . For every $a \in S_1$ let $X_a(x, y)$ be a *conformal mapping* between the unit disk and a neighborhood $U_a \subset S_1$ i.e. let x, y be *isothermal coordinates* in U_a . The mapping f of the surface S_1 into the surface S_2 is called *harmonic* if for every $a \in S_1$ $f \circ X_a$ ($g = (Y_{f(a)})^{-1} \circ f \circ X_a$) is harmonic in $\mathbb{U}$. Let $k \in [0, 1)$ and let f be a homeomorphism between S_1 and S_2 . Let $a \in S^1$ be arbitrary and let X_a be isothermal coordinates in U_a . Similarly let $Y_{f(a)}$ be isothermal coordinates in some neighborhood $V_{f(a)}$ in Y . If for every a

the mapping $g = (Y_{f(a)})^{-1} \circ f \circ X_a$ satisfies the inequality $|g_{\bar{z}}| \leq k|g_z|$ in $X_a^{-1}(U_a)$, then f is said to be a k *quasiconformal (q.c.) mapping*.

We will mainly investigate some properties of quasiconformal mappings between smooth 2 dimensional surfaces, satisfying certain differential equations (inequalities), in particular satisfying laplace equation. See [14] for the first result and see [10]-[12] and [22] for some recent results on the topic of q.c. harmonic functions for plane domains. See also the Background for the statements of results concerning this topic.

1.2. Background. Below we present some previous results about the boundary correspondence and Lipschitz continuity under quasiconformal and harmonic mappings.

- (1) If $w : \Omega \mapsto \Omega_1$ is quasiconformal then w has a continuous extension to $\overline{\Omega}$, see [1].
- (2) If w is conformal mapping and $\gamma, \gamma_1 \in C^m$ then w has a C^{m-1} extension on boundary (see for instance [21]).
- (3) If w is a harmonic diffeomorphism of the unit disk onto itself then the following conditions are equivalent: w is q.c.; w is bi-Lipschitz; the boundary function is bi-Lipschitz and the Hilbert transformation of its derivative is in L^∞ (see [22]).
- (4) An orientation-preserving homeomorphism ψ of the real axis can be extended to a q.c. harmonic homeomorphism of the upper half-plane if and only if ψ is bi-Lipschitz and the Hilbert transformation of the derivative ψ' is bounded (see [12]).
- (5) Let f be a quasiconformal C^2 diffeomorphism from the $C^{1,\alpha}$ Jordan domain Ω onto the $C^{2,\alpha}$ Jordan domain Ω_1 . If there exists a constant M such that $|\Delta f| \leq M|f_z \cdot f_{\bar{z}}|$, $z \in \Omega$, then f has bounded partial derivatives. In particular, it is a Lipschitz mapping. See [10].
- (6) Suppose that h is an euclidean harmonic mapping from $\mathbb{U}$ onto bounded domain $D = h(U)$. Suppose that $z_0 \in \mathbb{T}$, h has continuous extension to some open arc $z_0 \in I \in \mathbb{T}$ that it maps univalently onto convex smooth arc $\gamma \in \partial D$. If h is qc in some nbgh z_0 , then h_b is bi-Lipschitz in in some nbgh z_0 , see [2], p. 116.
- (7) Let Ω and Ω_1 be Jordan domains, let $\mu \in (0, 1]$, and let $f : \Omega \mapsto \Omega_1$ be a harmonic homeomorphism. Then:
 - (a) If f is q.c and $\partial\Omega, \partial\Omega_1 \in C^{1,\mu}$, then f is Lipschitz;
 - (b) If f is q.c and if $\partial\Omega, \partial\Omega_1 \in C^{1,\mu}$ and Ω_1 is convex, then f is bi-Lipschitz;
 - (c) If Ω is the unit disk, Ω_1 is convex, and $\partial\Omega, \partial\Omega_1 \in C^{1,\mu}$, then f is quasiconformal if and only if its boundary function f_b is bi-Lipschitz and the Hilbert transform of its derivative is in L^∞ .
 - (d) If f is q.c and if Ω' is convex then the boundary functions f_b is bi-Lipschitz in the Euclidean metric and Cauchy transform $C[f'_b]$ of its derivative is in L^∞ .
 - (e) If f is q.c and if Ω' is convex then the inverse of boundary functions g_b is Lipschitz in the Euclidean metric and Cauchy transform $C[g'_b]$ of its derivative is in L^∞ .

Concerning the items (a), (b) and (c) we refer to [13], and for the items (d) and (e) see [18].

- (a) Let f be a quasiconformal C^2 diffeomorphism from the $C^{1,\alpha}$ Jordan domain Ω onto the $C^{2,\alpha}$ Jordan domain D . If there exists a constant M such that

$$(1.4) \quad |\Delta f| \leq M |f_z \cdot f_{\bar{z}}|, \quad z \in \Omega,$$

then f has bounded partial derivatives. In particular, it is a Lipschitz mapping.

In connection with statements 8 a), b), c), d) and e) see Theorem 10.12 and Theorem 11.29 in K. Astala, T. Iwaniec, G. Martin, J. Onninen, Extremal Mappings of Finite Distortion [1]. They have observed that given qc data, the minimiser is seldom qc.

Proposition 1.1. *Suppose that domains Ω and Ω' in Theorem 10.12 [1] are $C^{1,\alpha}$. The unique minimiser f of the problem is qc if and only if $h = f^{-1}$ satisfies one of equivalent conditions 8 (c), (d) or (e) (or if the boundary mapping-data $g_b = f_b^{-1}$ satisfies one of equivalent conditions 8 (c), (d) or (e)).*

For the mapping $f : \Omega_1 \mapsto \Omega_2$ we write $f \in C^{l,\alpha}(\Omega)$ ($f \in C^{l,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$) ($l \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$), if $f \in C^l$ and for every compact subset $E \subset \Omega_1$ there exists a constant $C = C(E)$ ($C = C(\Omega_1)$) such that $\|u\|_{l,\alpha} := \sum_{|\beta| \leq l} \|D^\beta u\| + \sum_{|\beta|=l} \sup_{x,y \in \Omega} \|D^\beta u(x) - D^\beta u(y)\| \cdot \|x - y\|^{-\alpha} \leq C$.

The domain Ω is called $C^{l,\alpha}$ domain if the boundary $\partial\Omega$ is a compact $C^{l,\alpha}$ 1-dimensional manifold (curve).

A surface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with the boundary ∂S will be called $C^{l,\alpha}$ surface if there exists a $C^{l,\alpha}$ diffeomorphism h from $S \cup \partial S$ to a $C^{l,\alpha}$ closed domain $\Omega_0 = \Omega \cup \partial\Omega$. More precisely h and h^{-1} are $C^{l,\alpha}$ diffeomorphisms having $C^{l,\alpha}$ extension to the boundary. If S is $C^{l,\alpha}$ surface, then there exist $C^{l,\alpha}$ functions $h^1, h^2, \dots, h^n$ defined on $\bar{\Omega}$ such that $S \cup \partial S = \{h(z) = (h^1(z), \dots, h^n(z)) : z \in \bar{\Omega}\}$, and

$$(1.5) \quad \text{rank } [h'(z)] = 2,$$

for all $z = x + iy \in \bar{\Omega}$. If Ω is the unit disk then such surface we call *disk-like surface*.

Remark 1.2. If S is a disk-like $C^{l,\alpha}$ surface of $\mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 2$, then by Whitney extension theorem S is a subset of a closed $C^{l,\alpha}$ surface S_∞ without boundary in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Hence there exists a $C^{l,\alpha}$ disk-like surface S_1 such that $S \subset \text{int } S_1$. For the sake of completeness let us prove the last fact directly: By [4, Theorem 3.1.14, p. 225] every $C^{l,\alpha}$ smooth function h defined in a closed set E of Euclid space $\mathbb{R}^2$ has an $C^{l,\alpha}$ extension to $\mathbb{R}^2$. It follows that the mapping $h(z) = (h^1(z), \dots, h^n(z))$ has an $C^{l,\alpha}$ extension $\tilde{h}$ to the whole plane. Since h is injective and $\text{rank } [h'(z)] = 2$, for $|z| \leq 1$ there exists $r > 1$ such that $\text{rank } [h'(z)] = 2$, for $|z| \leq r$. Since $\tilde{h}$ is injective in $|z| \leq 1$ and locally injective in $|z| \leq r$, there exists $r_0 \in (1, r]$ such that $\tilde{h}$ is injective in $|z| \leq r_0$. Then we take $S_1 = \tilde{h}(D(0, r_0))$. The same hold for arbitrary $C^{l,\alpha}$ surfaces.

It is well known that an orientation preserving quasiconformal mapping $f : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ of a planar domain is a solution to the Beltrami equation:

$$(1.6) \quad \bar{\partial} f(z) = \mu(z) \partial f(z),$$

where $\partial = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial y})$, $\bar{\partial} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i\frac{\partial}{\partial y})$, and $\mu(z)$, a measurable function in Ω with $\|\mu\|_\infty < 1$, is called the Beltrami coefficient or the complex dilatation of f .

A q.c. mapping f we will call *regular* at a point z_0 if there exist continuous partial derivatives of f in some neighborhood of z_0 and $J_f(z_0) = |f_z(z_0)|^2 - |f_{\bar{z}}(z_0)|^2 > 0$.

1.3. Auxiliary results.

Theorem 1.3. *Let Ω be an open subset of $\mathbb{C}$, $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mu \in C^{l,\alpha}(\Omega)$, such that $\sup_{z \in \Omega} |\mu(z)| < 1$. Then there exists the diffeomorphic solution f of Beltrami equation:*

$$(1.7) \quad \bar{\partial}f(z) = \mu(z)\partial f(z),$$

that belongs to the class $C^{l+1,\alpha}(\Omega)$.

Note that, after writing this paper, it was pointed out to us by T Iwaniec that the theorem there hold for $l = 0$ as well. For the sake of completeness, we give the proof of this weaker form of theorem, which is one of the main tools in proving the main result of this section (see below Theorem 1.7).

We will prove the theorem using the following three propositions:

Proposition 1.4 ([3], Corollary 9.18). *Let Ω be a $C^{1,1}$ domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$, and let the operator L be strictly elliptic in Ω with coefficients $a_{ij} \in C^0(\bar{\Omega})$, $b_i \in L^\infty$, $i, j = 1, 2$. Then, if $\varphi \in C^0(\partial\Omega)$, the Dirichlet problem $Lu = 0$ in Ω , $u = \varphi$ on $\partial\Omega$, has a unique solution $u \in W_{loc}^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$.*

Proposition 1.5 ([3], Theorem 9.19). *Let u be $W_{loc}^{2,2}$ solution of PDE $Lu = f$ in a domain Ω , where the coefficients of L belong to $C^{l-1,\alpha}(\Omega)$, $l \geq 1$, $0 < \alpha < 1$. Then $u \in C^{l+1,\alpha}$. Furthermore if $\Omega \in C^{l+1,\alpha}$, $Lu = f$ is strictly elliptic in Ω with coefficients in $C^{l-1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$, then $u \in C^{l+1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$.*

Proposition 1.6 ([1, Theorem 7.2]). *Let μ , $|\mu| \leq k < 1$, be a measurable function in a domain Ω such that the integral*

$$(1.8) \quad I(r) = \iint_{|z-z_0|<r} \frac{|\mu(z) - \mu(z_0)|}{|z - z_0|^2} dx dy$$

converges uniformly in every compact subset F of Ω i.e. for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $r > 0$ such that $|I(r)| < \varepsilon$ for every $z_0 \in F$. Then every quasiconformal mapping $w : \Omega \mapsto \Omega'$ whose complex dilatation coincides with μ almost everywhere in Ω is a regular quasiconformal mapping of Ω and has complex dilatation μ for every $z \in \Omega$.

Proof of the Theorem 1.3. According to Riemann measure mapping theorem there exists a homeomorphic solution f of Beltrami equation. On the other hand since μ is holder mapping the integral (1.8) converges at every compact subset of Ω . Thus f is q.c. diffeomorphism. Let $\bar{D}$ be an arbitrary closed disk in Ω and let $\varphi = f|_{\partial D}$. Let $\mu = \alpha + i\beta$. Then (1.7) is equivalent to the system:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha)u_x - (1 + \alpha)v_y &= \beta(u_y - v_x) \\ (1 + \alpha)u_y + (1 - \alpha)v_x &= \beta(u_x + v_y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} v_x &= -\frac{|\mu|^2 + 1 + 2\alpha}{1 - |\mu|^2}u_y + \frac{2\beta}{1 - |\mu|^2}u_x = -\rho'u_y - \varrho u_x \\ v_y &= \frac{|\mu|^2 + 1 - 2\alpha}{1 - |\mu|^2}u_x + \frac{-2\beta}{1 - |\mu|^2}u_y = \rho u_x + \varrho u_y. \end{aligned}$$

Since $v_{xy} = v_{yx}$ it follows

$$(1.9) \quad Lu := \rho u_{xx} + 2\rho u_{xy} + \rho' u_{yy} + (\rho_x + \varrho_y)u_x + (\rho'_y + \varrho_x)u_y = 0.$$

Similarly we obtain the equations

$$\begin{aligned} u_x &= -\frac{|\mu|^2 + 1 - 2\alpha}{1 - |\mu|^2}v_y + \frac{2\beta}{1 - |\mu|^2}v_x = -\rho v_y - \varrho v_x, \\ u_y &= \frac{|\mu|^2 + 1 + 2\alpha}{1 - |\mu|^2}v_x + \frac{-2\beta}{1 - |\mu|^2}v_y = \rho' v_x + \varrho v_y, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(1.10) \quad L_1 v := \rho' v_{xx} + 2\rho v_{xy} + \rho v_{yy} + (\rho'_x + \varrho_y)v_x + (\rho_y + \varrho_x)v_y = 0.$$

Since $\rho > 0$, $\rho' > 0$ and $\rho \cdot \rho' - \varrho^2 = 1 > 0$ it follows that the PDE's (1.9) and (1.10) are strictly elliptic. On the other hand since $\mu \in C^{l,\alpha}$ it follows that the coefficients of L and L_1 are $C^{l-1,\alpha}$. Using Proposition 1.4 and Proposition 1.5 we obtain that the solutions u_1 and v_1 of the Dirichlet problems $Lu = 0$, $u = \operatorname{Re} \varphi$ on ∂D and $L_1 v = 0$, $v = \operatorname{Im} \varphi$ on ∂D are $C^{l+1,\alpha}$. Since the solution is unique it follows that the function $f = u + iv = u_1 + iv_1 \in C^{l+1,\alpha}(D)$. Consequently $f \in C^{l+1,\alpha}(\Omega)$. $\square$

Theorem 1.7. *Let S be a disk-like $C^{l,\alpha}$ ($l \geq 1$) surface in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then there exists a conformal $C^{l,\alpha}$ parameterisation X of S having a $C^{l,\alpha}$ extension to the boundary.*

To prove the theorem we need the proposition:

Proposition 1.8 (Kellogg and Warshawski see [15], [23] and [24]). *If Ω is a Jordan domain having a $C^{l,\alpha}$ boundary and ω conformal mapping of Ω onto D , then $\omega^{(l)} \in C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})$. In particular it is bounded from above on $\mathbb{U}$.*

Proof of the Theorem 1.7. According to the Remark 1.2, we can consider the surface $S_1 \supset S$ and a $C^{l,\alpha}$ diffeomorphism $h(z) = (h^1, h^2, \dots, h^n)$ from the unit disk $\mathbb{U}$ onto S_1 .

We easily obtain that

$$(1.11) \quad d\sigma^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n dh^j{}^2 = g_{11}dx^2 + 2g_{12}dxdy + g_{22}dy^2,$$

where

$$(1.12) \quad g_{11} = \sum_{j=1}^n h_x^j{}^2, \quad g_{12} = \sum_{j=1}^n h_x^j h_y^j, \quad g_{22} = \sum_{j=1}^n h_y^j{}^2.$$

By Hölder's inequality,

$$g_{12}^2 \leq g_{11}g_{22}$$

with equality throughout if and only if

$$(h_x^1, h_x^2, \dots, h_x^n) = \rho(x, y)(h_y^1, h_y^2, \dots, h_y^n)$$

for some ρ . However, since the map $(x, y) \mapsto (h^1, h^2, \dots, h^n)$ is a diffeomorphism, the linear map between tangent planes:

$$h'(x, y) : T(\mathbb{U}) \mapsto T(S_1)$$

has rank 2. Therefore, according to (1.5) the strict inequality

$$(1.13) \quad g_{11}g_{22} - g_{12}^2 > 0 \text{ holds for all } (x, y) \in \overline{\mathbb{U}}.$$

Using the complex notation $z = x + iy$, (1.11) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma^2 &= \frac{1}{4}g_{11}(dz + d\bar{z})^2 - \frac{i}{2}g_{12}(dz^2 - d\bar{z}^2) - \frac{1}{4}g_{22}(dz - d\bar{z})^2 \\ &= dz^2\left(\frac{1}{4}g_{11} - \frac{1}{4}g_{22} - \frac{i}{2}g_{12}\right) + d\bar{z}^2\left(\frac{1}{4}g_{11} - \frac{1}{4}g_{22} + \frac{i}{2}g_{12}\right) + dzd\bar{z}\left(\frac{1}{2}g_{11} + \frac{1}{2}g_{22}\right) \\ &= |Adz + Bd\bar{z}|^2 = |A|^2|dz + \frac{B}{A}d\bar{z}|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$|A|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2}(g_{11} + g_{22}) + \sqrt{g_{11}g_{22} - g_{12}^2} \right],$$

and

$$(1.14) \quad \frac{B}{A} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}(g_{11} - g_{22}) + ig_{12}}{\frac{1}{2}(g_{11} + g_{22}) + \sqrt{g_{11}g_{22} - g_{12}^2}} =: \mu.$$

Hence, using the inequality (1.13) it follows that

$$|\mu|^2 = \frac{\frac{1}{2}(g_{11} + g_{22}) - \sqrt{g_{11}g_{22} - g_{12}^2}}{\frac{1}{2}(g_{11} + g_{22}) + \sqrt{g_{11}g_{22} - g_{12}^2}} < 1$$

for all $z = x + iy \in \overline{\mathbb{U}}$.

On the other hand, using the complex notation $w = u + iv$, the metric in $\mathbb{U}$ can be written as

$$du^2 + dv^2 = |dw|^2 = |w_z|^2 \left| dz + \frac{w_{\bar{z}}}{w_z} d\bar{z} \right|^2.$$

Hence, if the map $w(z) = u(z) + iv(z)$ satisfies the Beltrami equation $w_{\bar{z}} = \mu w_z$ with the coefficient $\mu = \frac{B}{A} \in C^{l-1, \alpha}(\mathbb{U})$, then the conformality condition

$$du^2 + dv^2 = \lambda(x, y)^2 d\sigma^2$$

is satisfied with $\lambda(x, y) = |w_z|/|A| > 0$. Since $\mu_0 = \max_{z \in \overline{\mathbb{U}}} |\mu(z)| < 1$, using Theorem 1.3, we obtain that the solution w of the Beltrami equation is in the class $C^{l, \alpha}(\mathbb{U})$. It follows that the mapping $h \circ w^{-1} : w(\mathbb{U}) \mapsto S_1$ is a $C^{l, \alpha}$ conformal diffeomorphism. Let $\Omega = h^{-1}(S)$. Then Ω is a compact subset of the unit disk $\mathbb{U}$ having a $C^{l, \alpha}$ boundary. It follows that $\Omega' = w(\Omega)$ is also a Jordan domain having a $C^{l, \alpha}$ Jordan boundary. Now using Kellogg's theorem the Riemann conformal mapping $\varphi : \Omega' \mapsto \mathbb{U}$ is a $C^{l, \alpha}$ diffeomorphism on $\overline{\Omega'}$. Finally, the conformal mapping $X = h \circ w^{-1} \circ \varphi^{-1}$ satisfies the desired conditions. $\square$

Corollary 1.9. *Let $X : \mathbb{U} \mapsto S$ be a conformal mapping between the unit disk and the disk-like $C^{2, \alpha}$ surface S . Then*

$$(1.15) \quad c := \min_{\{z: |z| \leq 1\}} |X_u(z)| = \min_{\{z: |z| \leq 1\}} |X_v(z)| > 0,$$

$$(1.16) \quad C := \max_{\{z: |z| \leq 1\}} |X_{uu}(z)| + |X_{uv}(z)| + |X_{vv}(z)| < \infty, \text{ and}$$

$$(1.17) \quad |\log |X_u(w)|^2|_w \leq M' < \infty.$$

Proof. The first two inequalities follows directly from Theorem 1.7. For $\rho = \log |X_u(w)|^2$ we have

$$\rho_w = \frac{\langle X_{uu}, X_u \rangle - i \langle X_{uv}, X_u \rangle}{|X_u(w)|^2} = \frac{\langle X_{uu}, X_u \rangle + i \langle X_{uu}, X_v \rangle}{|X_u(w)|^2}.$$

Consequently:

$$(1.18) \quad |\rho_w| \leq 2 \frac{|X_{uu}|}{|X_u|} \leq M' = \frac{C}{c}.$$

□

2. THE MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1 (The main theorem). *Let f be a quasiconformal C^2 diffeomorphism from the plane domain Ω onto the plane domain G . Let $\gamma_\Omega \subset \partial\Omega$ and $\gamma_G = f(\gamma_\Omega) \subset \partial G$ be $C^{1,\alpha}$ respectively $C^{2,\alpha}$ Jordan arcs. If for some $\tau \in \gamma_\Omega$ there exist positive constants r , M and N such that*

$$(2.1) \quad |\Delta f| \leq M|\nabla f|^2 + N, \quad z \in \Omega \cap D(\tau, r),$$

then f has bounded partial derivatives in $\Omega \cap D(\tau, r_\tau)$ for some $r_\tau < r$. In particular it is a Lipschitz mapping in $\Omega \cap D(\tau, r_\tau)$.

Proposition 2.2 (Interior estimate). (**Heinz-Bernstein**, see [8]). *Let $s : \overline{\mathbb{U}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function from the closed unit disc $\overline{\mathbb{U}}$ into the real line satisfying the conditions:*

- (1) s is C^2 on $\mathbb{U}$,
- (2) $s_b(\theta) = s(e^{i\theta})$ is C^2 and
- (3) $|\Delta s| \leq M_0|\nabla s|^2 + N_0$, on $\mathbb{U}$ for some constants M_0 and N_0 (the last inequality we will call the interior estimate inequality).

Then the function $|\nabla s|$ is bounded on $\mathbb{U}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $r > 0$ be sufficiently small positive real number such that $\Delta = D(\tau, r) \cap \Omega$ is a Jordan domain with $C^{1,\alpha}$ boundary consisting of a circle arc $C(t_0, t_1)$ and an arc $\gamma_0[t_0, t_1] \subset \gamma$ containing τ . Take $D = f(\Delta)$. Let g be a conformal mapping of the unit disc onto Δ . Let $\tilde{f} = f \circ g$. Since $\Delta \tilde{f} = |g'|^2 \Delta f$ and $|\nabla \tilde{f}|^2 = |g'|^2 |\nabla f|^2$, we find that $\tilde{f}$ satisfies the inequality (2.1) with $M_1 = M$ and $N_1 = N \cdot \inf_{|z| \leq 1} |g'(z)|^{-1}$. We will prove the theorem for $\tilde{f}$ and then apply Kellogg's theorem. For simplicity, we write f instead of $\tilde{f}$. Let J be a compact subset of γ_0 containing τ but not containing the points t_0 and t_1 . Let $t \in J$ be arbitrary.

Step 1 (Local Construction). In this step we show that there are two Jordan domains D_1 and D_2 in D with $C^{2,\alpha}$ boundary such that

- (i) $D_1 \subset D_2 \subset D$,
- (ii) $\partial D \cap \partial D_2$ is a connected arc containing the point $w = f(t)$ in its interior,
- (iii) $\emptyset \neq \overline{\partial D_2} \setminus \overline{\partial D_1} \subset D$.

Let H_1 be the Jordan domain bounded by the Jordan curve γ_1 which is composed by the following sequence of Jordan arcs: $\{y^{1/5} + (2-x)^{1/5} = 1, 1 \leq x \leq 2\}$; $\{(2-y)^{1/5} + (2-x)^{1/5} = 1, 1 \leq x \leq 2\}$; $[(1, 2), (-1, 2)]$; $\{(2-y)^{1/5} + (2+x)^{1/5} = 1, -2 \leq x \leq -1\}$; $\{y^{1/5} + (2+x)^{1/5} = 1, -2 \leq x \leq -1\}$ and $[(-1, 0), (1, 0)]$. Let H_2 be the Jordan domain bounded by the Jordan curve γ_2 which is composed by the following sequence of Jordan arcs: $\{y^{1/5} + (2-x)^{1/5} = 1, 1 \leq x \leq 2\}$; $[(2, 1), (2, 2)]$; $\{(3-y)^{1/5} + (2-x)^{1/5} = 1, 1 \leq x \leq 2\}$; $[(1, 3), (-1, 3)]$; $\{(3-y)^{1/5} + (2+x)^{1/5} = 1, -2 \leq x \leq -1\}$; $[(-2, 2), (-2, 1)]$; $\{y^{1/5} + (2+x)^{1/5} = 1, -2 \leq x \leq -1\}$ and $[(-1, 0), (1, 0)]$. Note that $H_1 \subset H_2 \subset [-2, 2] \times [0, 3]$, $\partial H_1 \cap \mathbb{R} = \partial H_2 \cap \mathbb{R} = [-1, 1]$ and that $\partial H_1, \partial H_2 \in C^3$.

Let Γ be an orientation preserving arc-length parameterization of $\gamma = \partial D$ such that for $s_0 \in (0, \text{length}(\gamma))$ there holds: $\Gamma(s_0) = f(t)$. Let $D^* = \overline{\Gamma'(s_0)D}$, $b = \overline{\Gamma'(s_0)f(t)}$ and $\Gamma^* = \overline{\Gamma'(s_0)\Gamma}$. Then there exists $r > 0$ such that $(b, b + ir] \subset D^*$. Since $\gamma^* = \partial D^* \in C^{2,\alpha}$, it follows that, there exist $x_0 > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $y_0 \in (0, r/3)$, the $C^{2,\alpha}$ function $h : [-2x_0, 2x_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $h(0) = 0$, and the domain $D_2^* \subset D^*$ such that:

- (1) $\Gamma^*([s_0 - \varepsilon, s_0 + \varepsilon]) = \{b + (x, h(x)) : x \in [-2x_0, 2x_0]\}$,
- (2) $D_2^* = \{b + (x, h(x) + y) : x \in [-2x_0, 2x_0], y \in (0, 3y_0]\}$.

Let $\Upsilon : [-2, 2] \times [0, 3] \rightarrow D_2^*$ be the mapping defined by:

$$\Upsilon(x, y) = b + (xx_0, h(xx_0) + yy_0).$$

Then Υ is a $C^{2,\alpha}$ diffeomorphism.

Take $D_i = \Gamma'(s_0) \cdot \Upsilon(H_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. Obviously $D_1 \subset D_2 \subset D$ and D_1 and D_2 have $C^{2,\alpha}$ boundary. Observe that $f(t) = \Gamma'(s_0)\overline{\Gamma'(s_0)}f(t) = \Gamma'(s_0)\Upsilon(0) \in \Gamma'(s_0)\Upsilon([-1, 1]) = \partial D_1 \cap \partial D_2$.

Step 2 (Application of the Interior Estimate). Let ϕ be a conformal mapping of D_2 onto H such that $\phi^{-1}(\infty) \in \partial D_2 \setminus \partial D_1$. Let $\Omega_1 = \phi(D_1)$. Then there exist real numbers a, b, c, d such that $a < c < d < b$, $[a, b] = \partial\Omega_1 \cap \mathbb{R}$ and $l = \phi^{-1}(\partial\Omega_1 \setminus [c, d]) \subset D$. Let $U_1 = f^{-1}(D_1)$ and η be a conformal mapping between the unit disc and the domain U_1 . Then the mapping $\hat{f} = \phi \circ f \circ \eta$ is a C^2 diffeomorphism of the unit disc onto the domain Ω_1 such that:

- (a) $\hat{f}$ is continuous on the boundary $\mathbb{T} = \partial\mathbb{U}$ (it is q.c.) and
- (b) $\hat{f}$ is C^2 on the set $T_1 = \hat{f}^{-1}(\partial\Omega_1 \setminus (c, d))$.

Let $s := \text{Im } \hat{f}$. First, note that (a) implies that s is continuous on $\mathbb{T} = \partial\mathbb{U}$. On other hand, as $\hat{f} \in C^2$, s satisfies the condition:

- (1) $s \in C^2(\mathbb{U})$.

From (b) we obtain that s is C^2 on the set $T_1 = \hat{f}^{-1}(\partial\Omega \setminus (c, d))$. Furthermore, $s = 0$ on $T_2 = \hat{f}^{-1}(a, b)$; and therefore s is C^2 on $T_2 = \hat{f}^{-1}(a, b)$. Hence:

- (2) s is C^2 on $\mathbb{T} = T_1 \cup T_2$. In other words, the function $s_b : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $s_b(\theta) = s(e^{i\theta})$ is C^2 in $\mathbb{R}$.

In order to apply the interior estimate, we have to prove that

- (3) $|\Delta s(z)| \leq M_0 |\nabla s(z)|^2 + N_0$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$, where M_0 and N_0 are constants.

To continue we need the following lemma:

Lemma 2.3. *If $f = u + iv$ is q.c. mapping satisfying the interior estimate inequality then u and v satisfies the interior estimate inequality.*

Proof. From

$$A := |\nabla u|^2 = 2(|u_z|^2 + |u_{\bar{z}}|^2) = 2(|f_z + \overline{f_{\bar{z}}}|^2 + |f_{\bar{z}} + \overline{f_z}|^2)$$

and

$$B := |\nabla v|^2 = 2(|v_z|^2 + |v_{\bar{z}}|^2) = 2(|f_z - \overline{f_{\bar{z}}}|^2 + |f_{\bar{z}} - \overline{f_z}|^2)$$

$$\frac{A}{B} = \frac{|1 + \mu|^2}{|1 - \mu|^2}$$

where $\mu = \overline{f_{\bar{z}}}/f_z$. Since $|\mu| \leq k$

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{(1-k)^2}{(1+k)^2} \leq \frac{A}{B} \leq \frac{(1+k)^2}{(1-k)^2}.$$

As

$$|\Delta f| = |\Delta u + i\Delta v| \leq M|\nabla f|^2 + N = M(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2) + N,$$

the relation (2.2) yields

$$|\Delta u| \leq M \frac{(1+k)^2}{(1-k)^2} |\nabla u|^2 + N$$

and

$$|\Delta v| \leq M \frac{(1+k)^2}{(1-k)^2} |\nabla v|^2 + N.$$

□

Since $\hat{f} = \phi \circ f \circ \eta$, we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad \partial \hat{f} = \phi' \partial f \eta', \quad \bar{\partial} \hat{f} = \phi' \bar{\partial} f \eta'$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad \partial \bar{\partial} \hat{f} = \frac{1}{4} \Delta \hat{f} = \frac{1}{4} \Delta (\phi \circ f) \cdot |\eta'|^2 = (\phi'' \partial f \cdot \bar{\partial} f + \phi' \partial \bar{\partial} f) |\eta'|^2.$$

Now combining (2.1), (2.3) and (2.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta \hat{f}| &\leq 4 \frac{|\phi''|}{|\phi'|^2} |\partial \hat{f}| |\bar{\partial} \hat{f}| + |\phi'| |\Delta f| |\eta'|^2 \\ &\leq 4 \frac{|\phi''|}{|\phi'|^2} |\partial \hat{f}| |\bar{\partial} \hat{f}| + |\phi'| (M |\nabla f|^2 + N) |\eta'|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{|\phi''|}{|\phi'|^2} |\nabla \hat{f}|^2 + M |\nabla \hat{f}|^2 \cdot \frac{1}{|\phi'|} + N |\phi'| |\eta'|^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{|\phi''|}{|\phi'|^2} + \frac{M}{|\phi'|} \right) |\nabla \hat{f}|^2 + N |\phi'| |\eta'|^2. \end{aligned}$$

As $\hat{f}$ is a k -q.c. mapping using Lemma 2.3 we have

$$(2.5) \quad |\Delta s| \leq \frac{(1+k)^2}{(1-k)^2} \left(\frac{|\phi''|}{|\phi'|^2} + \frac{M}{|\phi'|} \right) \cdot |\nabla s|^2 + N |\phi'| |\eta'|^2.$$

Proposition 1.8 implies that the function $|\eta'|$ is bounded from above by a constant C_1 , the function $|\phi'|$ is bounded from below and above by positive constants C_2 and C_3 respectively and the function $|\phi''|$ is bounded from above by a constant C_4 . Hence

$$|\Delta s| \leq M_0 |\nabla s|^2 + N_0,$$

where

$$M_0 = \frac{(1+k)^2}{(1-k)^2} \left(\frac{C_4}{C_3^2} + \frac{M}{C_3} \right) \text{ and } N_0 = C_2 C_1^2 N.$$

Proposition 2.2 implies that, the function $|\nabla s|$ is bounded by a constant b_t . Since $\hat{f}$ is a k -q.c. mapping, we have

$$(1-k) |\partial \hat{f}| \leq |\partial \hat{f} - \bar{\partial} \hat{f}| \leq 2 |s_z| \leq \sqrt{2} b_t.$$

Finally,

$$|\partial \hat{f}| + |\bar{\partial} \hat{f}| \leq \sqrt{2} \frac{1+k}{1-k} b_t.$$

Since the mapping η is conformal and maps the circle arc $T = (\phi \circ f \circ \eta)^{-1}(a, b)$ onto the circle arc $(\phi \circ f)^{-1}(a, b)$, it follows that it can be conformally extended across the arc $T' = (\phi \circ f \circ \eta)^{-1}[c, d]$. Hence, there exists a constant A such that

$|\eta'(z)| \geq 2A$ on T' . It follows that there exists $r \in (0, 1)$ such that $|\eta'(z)| \geq A$ in $\mathcal{T} = \{\rho z : z \in T', r \leq \rho \leq 1\}$. It follows from the Proposition 1.8, that the conformal mapping ϕ and its inverse have the C^1 extension to the boundary. Therefore there exists a positive constant B such that $|\phi'(z)| \geq B$ on some neighborhood of $\phi^{-1}[c, d]$ with respect to D . Thus, the mapping $f = \phi^{-1} \circ \hat{f} \circ \eta^{-1}$ has bounded derivative in some neighborhood of the set $\eta(T')$, on which it is bounded by the constant

$$C = \sqrt{2} \frac{1+k}{1-k} \frac{b_t}{AB}.$$

Then

$$|\partial f(z)| + |\bar{\partial} f(z)| \leq C_0 \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{U} \text{ near the arc } T = \eta(T').$$

□

Theorem 2.4. *Let f be a quasiconformal C^2 diffeomorphism from the plane domain Ω with $C^{1,\alpha}$ compact boundary onto the plane domain G with $C^{2,\alpha}$ compact boundary. If there exist constants M and N such that*

$$(2.6) \quad |\Delta f| \leq M|\nabla f|^2 + N, \quad z \in \Omega,$$

then f has bounded partial derivatives in Ω . In particular it is a Lipschitz mapping in Ω .

Proof. According to the Theorem 2.1 for every $t \in \partial\Omega$ there exists $r_t > 0$ such that f has bounded partial derivatives in $\Omega \cap D(t, r_t)$. Since $\partial\Omega$ is a compact set it follows that there exists $t_1, \dots, t_m$ such that $\partial\Omega \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m D(t_i, r_{t_i})$. It follows that f has bounded partial derivatives in $\Omega \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^m D(t_i, r_{t_i})$. Since f is diffeomorphism in Ω we obtain that f has bounded derivatives in the compact set $\Omega \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^m D(t_i, r_{t_i})$. The conclusion of the theorem now easily follows. □

Corollary 2.5. *Let Ω be a plane domain with $C^{1,\alpha}$ compact boundary and G be a plane domain with $C^{2,\alpha}$ compact boundary. If $w = f(z) : \Omega \mapsto G$ is quasiconformal solution of the equation*

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &\alpha w_{xx} + 2\beta w_{xy} + \gamma w_{yy} + a_1(z)w_x^2 + b_1(z)w_x w_y + c_1(z)w_y^2 \\ &+ a(z)w_x + b(z)w_y + c(z)w + d(z) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

such that $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, $\alpha > 0$, $\alpha\gamma - \beta^2 > 0$, $a, b, c, d, a_1, b_1, c_1 \in C(\overline{\Omega})$, then f is Lipschitz.

Proof. Since the PDE (2.7) is elliptic, we can choose coordinates $x = \alpha_1 u + \beta_1 v$, $y = \beta_1 u + \gamma_1 v$ such that (2.7) becomes

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &w_{uu} + w_{vv} + a'_1(u, v)w_u^2 + b'_1(u, v)w_u w_v + c'_1(u, v)w_v^2 \\ &+ a'(u, v)w_u + b'(u, v)w_v + c'(u, v)w + d'(u, v) = 0, \quad (u, v) \in \Omega'. \end{aligned}$$

For $e \in C(\overline{\Omega'})$ let $|e| = \max\{|e(u, v)| : (u, v) \in \overline{\Omega'}\}$. Using (2.8) and the inequality $2|t| \leq |t|^2 + 1$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta w| &\leq \left(\frac{|a'|}{2} + \frac{|b'|}{2} \right) (|\nabla w|^2 + 1) + \left(\max\{|a'_1|, |c'_1|\} + \frac{|b'_1|}{2} \right) |\nabla w|^2 + |c'| |w| + |d'| \\ &= M |\nabla w|^2 + N, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M = (|a'| + |b'|)/2 + \max\{|a'_1|, |c'_1|\} + \frac{|b'_1|}{2}$$

and

$$N = \frac{|a'| + |b'|}{2} + |c'| |w| + |d'|.$$

The conclusion now follows from Theorem 2.4. $\square$

Theorem 2.6. *If f is a quasiconformal harmonic mapping between two $C^{2,\alpha}$ disk-like surfaces S_1 and S_2 , then it is a Lipschitz mapping i.e. there exists a constant C such that*

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq Cd(x, y), \text{ for all } x, y \in S_1.$$

Proof. Let f be a harmonic q.c. mapping between disk-like surfaces S_1 and S_2 . Let $Y : \mathbb{U} \mapsto S_1$ and $Y : \mathbb{U} \mapsto S_2$ be conformal mappings. Let us consider the mapping $g = X^{-1} \circ f \circ Y$ of the unit disk onto itself. Since $f(Y(z)) = X(g(z))$, it follows that

$$|f \circ Y_x|^2 + |f \circ Y_y|^2 = |X_u|^2(|g_x|^2 + |g_y|^2).$$

Hence

$$(2.9) \quad E[f \circ Y] = E_X[g] = \iint_{\Omega} |X_u|^2(|g_x|^2 + |g_y|^2) dx dy.$$

If we denote $\rho(w) = |X_u(w)|^2$, then the stationary points of the energy integral $E_X[g]$ satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$(2.10) \quad g_z \bar{z} + (\log \rho)_w \circ g g_z g_{\bar{z}} = 0.$$

Consequently $f \circ Y$ is harmonic if and only if g is ρ -harmonic i.e. the mapping satisfying the relation (2.10). According to the Corollary 1.9, the mapping g satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.4. Namely as $|(\log \rho)_w| \leq M$ and $|g_z g_{\bar{z}}| \leq 1/2(|g_z|^2 + |g_{\bar{z}}|^2)$ we can simply take $M = M'/2$, and $N = 0$. Theorem 2.4 yields that g is Lipschitz. By Theorem 1.7 it follows that X and Y are bi-Lipschitz mapping. f is Lipschitz as a composition of Lipschitz mappings. $\square$

Using Theorem 2.6 we obtain the theorem:

Theorem 2.7. *If f is a quasiconformal harmonic mapping between $C^{2,\alpha}$ surfaces S_1 and S_2 , with $C^{2,\alpha}$ compact boundary then it is a Lipschitz mapping i.e. there exists a constant C such that $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq Cd(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in S_1$.*

2.1. Further results. Let Γ be a closed Jordan curve in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Then S is called a generalized minimal surface spanning Γ if S is represented by a triple of real valued functions

$$(2.11) \quad X(z) = (u(z), v(z), w(z)) : \{|z| \leq 1\} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n, \quad z = x + iy = re^{i\theta}$$

such that

- (a) u, v, w are harmonic in $|z| < 1$ and continuous in $|z| \leq 1$
- (b) x and y are isothermal parameters in $|z| < 1$, i.e.,

$$|X_x|^2 = u_x^2 + v_x^2 + z_x^2 = u_y^2 + v_y^2 + z_y^2 = |X_y|^2$$

and

$$X_x \cdot X_y = u_x u_y + v_x v_y + w_x w_y = 0.$$

- (c) $X(e^{i\theta})$ is a homeomorphism of $|z| = 1$ onto Γ .

A solution to Plateau's problem for Γ is a generalized minimal surface spanning Γ , and a solution may be normalized by specifying that three fixed points on $|z| = 1$ correspond to three fixed points on Γ . Consider the analytic functions of which u , v , w are the real parts: $\lambda(z) = u(z) + iu^*(z)$, $\mu(z) = v(z) + iv^*(z)$ and $\eta(z) = w(z) + iw^*(z)$. Then the condition (b) is equivalent to condition:

$$\lambda'^2(z) + \mu'^2(z) + \eta'^2(z) = 0, \quad |z| < 1.$$

It was noted by Weierstrass that if the boundary Γ of a minimal surface S contains a straight line segment α , then the surface may be extended analytically as a minimal surface across α , by use of the reflection principle. In 1951 H. Lewy [17] proved that if α is an analytic arc then the surface can be extended analytically across α . For bounded behavior of minimal surfaces see the paper of J. C. C. Nitsche [19]. In that paper Nitsche proved among other results the following

Proposition 2.8. *If $\Gamma \in C^{l,\alpha}$ for $l \geq 1$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$, then $X(z) \in C^{l,\alpha}$ in $|z| \leq 1$ and the Holder constant for the l -th derivatives of $X(z)$ is the same for all solutions of Plateau's problem, i.e., they depend only on the geometrical properties of Γ .*

If X is a conformal mapping between the unit disk and the minimizing surface S^2 spanning a C^1 curve Γ then neither S^2 neither X has not branch points i.e. the points $|z| < 1$ such that $X_u \times X_v = 0$. See [20] and [6]. If in addition assume that $\gamma \in C^2$, then X has smooth extension to the boundary of the unit disk and the boundary function has not branch points i.e. the points $z : |z| = 1$ such that $X_u \times X_v = 0$, see [16]. Hence

$$(2.12) \quad c := \min_{|z| \leq 1} \|X_u \times X_v\| = \min_{|z| \leq 1} \|X_u\|^2 > 0.$$

Using these facts and Proposition 2.8 it follows that, every minimizing surface spanning $C^{2,\alpha}$ Jordan curve is disk-like $C^{2,\alpha}$ surface. Now according to Theorem 2.6 we deduce:

Theorem 2.9. *If S_1 and S_2 are minimizing surfaces spanning $C^{2,\alpha}$ Jordan curves Γ_1 and Γ_2 , and f a harmonic q.c. mapping between S_1 and S_2 , then f is Lipschitz.*

2.2. A question. Is quasi-conformal harmonic mapping between two $C^{l,\alpha}$ surfaces with $C^{l,\alpha}$ compact boundary bi-Lipschitz mapping?

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Astala, T. Iwaniec, G. Martin, J. Onninen, *Extremal Mappings of Finite Distortion*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **91** (2005), no. 3, 655–702.
- [2] P. Duren, *Harmonic Mappings in the Plane*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [3] O. Lehto, K. I. Virtanen, *Quasiconformal mapping*, Springer-verlag, Berlin i New York, 1973.
- [4] D. Gilbarg, L. Hormander, *Intermediate Schauder estimates*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 74, 297–318 (1980).
- [5] D. Gilbarg, N. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, Vol. 224, 2 Edition, Springer 1977, 1983.
- [6] Robert D. Gulliver: *Regularity of Minimizing Surfaces of Prescribed Mean Curvature* The Annals of Mathematics, 2nd Ser., Vol. 97, No. 2 (Mar., 1973), pp. 275–305
- [7] G. M. Goluzin, *Geometric function theory*, Nauka Moskva 1966 (Russian).
- [8] E. Heinz, *On certain nonlinear elliptic differential equations and univalent mappings*, J. d' Anal. 5, 1956/57, 197–272.
- [9] H. Federer, *Geometric measure theory*, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., New York, 1969.

- [10] D. Kalaj, M. Mateljević, *Inner estimate and quasiconformal harmonic maps between smooth domains*, Journal d'Analyse Math. 100. 117-132, (2006).
- [11] D. Kalaj, *Quasiconformal harmonic functions between convex domains*, Publ. Inst. Math., Nouv. Ser. 76(90), 3-20 (2004).
- [12] D. Kalaj and M. Pavlović, *Boundary correspondence under harmonic quasiconformal homeomorphisms of a half-plane*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Math. 30, No.1, (2005) 159-165.
- [13] D. Kalaj, *Quasiconformal and harmonic mappings between Jordan domains*, DOI number: 10.1007/s00209-007-0270-9. to appear in Mathematische Zeitschrift.
- [14] O. Martio, *On harmonic quasiconformal mappings*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Ser. A I 425 (1968), 3-10.
- [15] O. Kellogg: *On the derivatives of harmonic functions on the boundary*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (1931), 689-692.
- [16] F. D. Lesley, *Differentiability of minimal surfaces at the boundary*, Pacific J. Of Math, Vol. 37, No. 1, 1971.
- [17] H. Lewy, *On the boundary behavior of minimal surfaces*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 37 (1951), 103-110.
- [18] M. Mateljevic, *On quasiconformal harmonic mappings*, unpublished manuscript, 2006.
- [19] J. C. C. Nitsche, *The boundary behavior of minimal surfaces, Kellogg's theorem and branch points on the boundary*, Inventiones Math., 8 (1969), 313-333.
- [20] Osserman, R., *A proof of the regularity everywhere of the classical solution of Plateau's problem*. Ann. of Math. 91, 550-569 (1970).
- [21] C. Pommerenke: *Boundary behaviour of conformal maps*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [22] M. Pavlović, *Boundary correspondence under harmonic quasiconformal homeomorphisms of the unit disc*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., Vol 27, (2002) 365-372.
- [23] S. E. Warschawski, *On differentiability at the boundary in conformal mapping*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, 12 (1961), 614-620.
- [24] S. E. Warschawski, *On the higher derivatives at the boundary in conformal mapping*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, 38, No. 2 (1935), 310-340.

UNIVERSITY OF MONTENEGRO, FACULTY OF NATURAL SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS, CETINJSKI
PUT B.B. 8100 PODGORICA, MONTENEGRO
E-mail address: davidk@cg.yu

UNIVERSITY OF BELGRADE, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, STUDENTSKI TRG 16, 11000 BELGRADE,
SERBIA
E-mail address: miodrag@matf.bg.ac.yu